

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,080	02/27/2004	Katsutoshi Misuda	03500.015757.1	4301
5514 7590 07/20/2007 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
	•	·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/787,080	MISUDA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Betelhem Shewareged	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 April 2007.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·				
· · · · ·	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/945,760</u> .						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's response filed on 04/26/2007 has been fully considered. Claims 1-12 are canceled, claim 17 is added, and claims 13-17 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misuda et al. (US 6,114,020) in view of Mishima (US 6,183,851 B1), Ohbayashi et al. (US 6,492,005 B1) and Smith et al. (US 5,175,133).
- 4. Misuda discloses a recording medium comprising an ink receiving layer on a base material (col. 3, line 54 and col. 4, line 25). The ink receiving layer comprises alumina hydrate having a pseudoboehmite structure (col. 6, line 14), and formed by hydrolyzing aluminum alkoxide and treating the resultant hydrolyzate by defloccutation process (col. 6, line 1). The particle size of the alumina hydrate is lum or smaller (col. 4, line 52). The ink receiving layer further comprises a binder (col. 4, line 56), and the mixing ratio of the alumina hydrate and the binder is 1:1 to 30:1 (col. 5, line 4). Misuda does not disclose the use of alumina having gamma crystal structure.
- 5. Misuda discloses the claimed invention except the use of alumina hydrate particles instead of the use of gamma alumina particles. Mishima shows that gamma

Art Unit: 1774

alumina particles are equivalent particles known in the ink jet recording art (col. 8, lines 50-67 of Mishima). Therefore, because these two types of particles were art recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the alumina hydrate particles for gamma alumina particles.

- 6. Misuda does not disclose the ink receiving layer as the outer layer of the recording medium. However, having the ink receiving layer as the outer layer of the recording medium is notoriously known in the ink jet recording medium art (see abstract of Ohbayashi).
- 7. Misuda does not disclose the claimed separation treatment. However, dewatering step such as centrifugation or filtration during production of ceramic particles such as alumina is well known (col. 7, line 64 of Smith).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's argument is based on that Misuda does not teach the use of γ -alumina having a particle size of at least 0.21um and at most 1um, and Mishima, Ohbayashi and/or Smith do not teach the particle size of at least 0.21um and at most 1um. This argument is not persuasive because Mishima teaches the use of γ -alumina having a particle size of 0.004-0.3um (col. 8, lines 50-62), wherein this value overlaps with the claimed range.

Art Unit: 1774

New Rejection

9. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misuda et al. (US 6,114,020) in view of Mishima (US 6,183,851 B1), Ohbayashi et al. (US 6,492,005 B1), Smith et al. (US 5,175,133) and Hoshino et al. (US 6,472,052 B1).

- 10. Misuda discloses a recording medium comprising an ink receiving layer on a base material (col. 3, line 54 and col. 4, line 25). The ink receiving layer comprises alumina hydrate having a pseudoboehmite structure (col. 6, line 14), and formed by hydrolyzing aluminum alkoxide and treating the resultant hydrolyzate by defloccutation process (col. 6, line 1). The particle size of the alumina hydrate is lum or smaller (col. 4, line 52). The ink receiving layer further comprises a binder (col. 4, line 56), and the mixing ratio of the alumina hydrate and the binder is 1:1 to 30:1 (col. 5, line 4). Misuda does not disclose the use of alumina having gamma crystal structure.
- 11. Misuda discloses the claimed invention except the use of alumina hydrate particles instead of the use of gamma alumina particles. Mishima shows that gamma alumina particles are equivalent particles known in the ink jet recording art (col. 8, lines 50-67 of Mishima). Therefore, because these two types of particles were art recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the alumina hydrate particles for gamma alumina particles.
- 12. Misuda does not disclose the ink receiving layer as the outer layer of the recording medium. However, having the ink receiving layer as the outer layer of the

Art Unit: 1774

recording medium is notoriously known in the ink jet recording medium art (see abstract of Ohbayashi).

- 13. Misuda does not disclose the claimed separation treatment. However, dewatering step such as centrifugation or filtration during production of ceramic particles such as alumina is well known (col. 7, line 64 of Smith).
- 14. With respect to claim 17: At the of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to perform the deflocculating process by an ultrasonic treatment because such technique is notoriously know before the claimed invention (see col. 5, lines 48 and 49 of Hoshino).

Conclusion

- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 16. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/787,080

Art Unit: 1774

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 6

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-

272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on MAX FLEX.

18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BS

July 15, 2007.

BETELHEM SHEWAREGED